

Pursuant to Ind.Appellate Rule 65(D), this Memorandum Decision shall not be regarded as precedent or cited before any court except for the purpose of establishing the defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, or the law of the case.

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT:

AARON J. EDWARDS
Columbus, Indiana

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE:

STEVE CARTER
Attorney General of Indiana

ANN L. GOODWIN
Special Deputy Attorney General
Indianapolis, Indiana

**IN THE
COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA**

SAMMY F.A. MOBLEY, JR.,

Appellant-Defendant,

VS.

STATE OF INDIANA,

Appellee-Plaintiff.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

No. 03A01-0611-CR-496

APPEAL FROM THE BARTHOLOMEW SUPERIOR COURT
The Honorable Chris D. Monroe, Judge
Cause No. 03D01-0601-FC-193

July 20, 2007

MEMORANDUM DECISION - NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAY, Judge

Sammy F. A. Mobley, Jr. was convicted after a jury trial of two counts of receiving stolen property, two counts of forgery, and being an habitual offender. He argues there was insufficient evidence to support his conviction of receiving stolen property and his sentence is inappropriate.

We affirm.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

1. Sufficiency of Evidence

A person who knowingly or intentionally receives, retains, or disposes of property of another person that has been the subject of theft commits receiving stolen property. Ind. Code § 35-43-4-2(b). Mobley argues there was insufficient evidence he received stolen property when he was found with Nina Thompson's driver's license, as the State failed to prove her driver's license was stolen.

There was ample circumstantial evidence Mobley knew Thompson's driver's license was stolen. When Mobley was arrested on January 9, 2006, he admitted he did not know Thompson. Mobley used Thompson's license number to forge two checks that were the basis of his forgery convictions. Thompson's driver's license was found with other stolen property in Mobley's wallet. Mobley's argument amounts to an invitation to reweigh the evidence, which we will not do. *See McHenry v. State*, 820 N.E.2d 124, 126 (Ind. 2005).

2. Inappropriate Sentence

Mobley argues his aggregate twenty-year sentence is inappropriate in light of his character and the nature of his offenses. It is not.

At the time of his sentencing hearing, Mobley had eight felony convictions, including theft, forgery, receiving stolen property, and operating a motor vehicle while intoxicated. He had at least eleven misdemeanor convictions, including check deception, receiving stolen property and credit card fraud. At the time of his pre-sentence report, forty-seven additional charges were pending against Mobley for, among other things, theft, forgery, and fraud.

Mobley had his probation revoked in several other cases and was on probation for his August 13, 2002, conviction of check deception when he committed the present offenses. Mobley's character supports an enhanced sentence.

Mobley notes he did not physically harm his victims, but we decline to hold that necessarily renders "inappropriate" an enhanced sentence for forgery, theft, and receiving stolen property. We affirm his sentence.

Affirmed.

SHARPNACK, J., and BAILEY, J., concur.